

## BEST EXTENSION OF THE HILBERT'S TYPE INEQUALITY WITH MULTI-PARAMETERS

BY

DONGMEI XIN AND BICHENG YANG

**Abstract.** By introducing parameter  $\lambda > 0$  and improving the weight function, we obtain a generalization of Hilbert's type inequality with the best constant factor. As its applications, we build its equivalent form and some particular results.

### 1. Introduction

If  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $f, g$  are non-negative functions such that  $0 < \int_0^\infty f^p(t)dt < \infty$  and  $0 < \int_0^\infty g^q(t)dt < \infty$ , then we have

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y} dx dy < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})} \left\{ \int_0^\infty f^p(t)dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_0^\infty g^q(t)dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (1.1)$$

where the constant factor  $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})}$  is the best possible. Inequality (1.1) is well known as Hardy-Hilbert's integral inequality [1], which is important in analysis and applications ([7]).

In 2002, Yang [8] gave a generalization of (1.1) by introducing a parameter  $\lambda > 0$  as:

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x^\lambda + y^\lambda} dx dy < \frac{\pi}{\lambda \sin(\frac{\pi}{p})} \left\{ \int_0^\infty t^{(p-1)(1-\lambda)} f^p(t)dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_0^\infty t^{(q-1)(1-\lambda)} g^q(t)dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (1.2)$$

where the constant factor  $\frac{\pi}{\lambda \sin(\frac{\pi}{p})}$  is the best possible.

---

Received April 15, 2006.

AMS Subject Classification. 26D15.

*Key words.* Hardy-Hilbert's inequality, Hölder's inequality, weight function,  $\beta$  function.

In 2004, by introducing some parameters and estimating the weight function, Yang [9] gave an extension of (1.1) with the best constant factor as:

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x^\lambda + y^\lambda} dx dy < \frac{\pi}{\lambda \sin(\frac{\pi}{r})} \left\{ \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_0^\infty x^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})-1} g^q(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (1.3)$$

where the constant factor  $\frac{\pi}{\lambda \sin(\frac{\pi}{r})}$  is the best possible. Recently, [2, 3, 4, 5] considered some multiple extensions of (1.1).

In 2005, by introducing the  $\beta$  and a parameter  $\lambda$  Yang [11] provided a double series inequality with a parameter  $\lambda > 0$  and function  $\phi$  related to  $(\lambda, p, q)$  as:

If  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $\lambda, \alpha > 0$ ,  $0 < \phi_r \leq 1$  ( $r = p, q$ ),  $\phi_p + \phi_q = \lambda\alpha$  and  $a_n b_n \geq 0$  satisfy  $0 < \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{p(1-\phi_p)-1} < \infty$  and  $0 < \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{q(1-\phi_q)-1} < \infty$  then one has

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{a_m b_n}{(m^\alpha + n^\alpha)^\lambda} < \frac{1}{\alpha} B\left(\frac{\phi_p}{\alpha}, \frac{\phi_q}{\alpha}\right) \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{p(1-\phi_q)-1} a_n^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{q(1-\phi_p)-1} b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (1.4)$$

where the constant factor  $\frac{1}{\alpha} B\left(\frac{\phi_p}{\alpha}, \frac{\phi_q}{\alpha}\right)$  is the best possible.

Under the same condition with (1.1), we still have (see [1, Th.341]):

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{\max\{x, y\}} dx dy < pq \left\{ \int_0^\infty f^p(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_0^\infty g^q(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (1.5)$$

where the constant factor  $pq$  is the best possible. In particular, for  $p = q = 2$ , we have

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{\max\{x, y\}} dx dy < 4 \left\{ \int_0^\infty f^2(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \int_0^\infty g^2(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (1.6)$$

In 2004, by introducing a parameter  $\lambda > 2 - \min\{p, q\}$  and the weight function, Yang [10, 12] gave two generations of (1.4) as:

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx dy < k_\lambda(p) \left\{ \int_0^\infty t^{(p-1)(2-\lambda)-1} f^p(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \times \left\{ \int_0^\infty t^{(q-1)(2-\lambda)-1} g^q(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (1.7)$$

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx dy < k_\lambda(p) \left\{ \int_0^\infty t^{1-\lambda} f^p(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left\{ \int_0^\infty t^{1-\lambda} g^q(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (1.8)$$

where the constant factor  $k_\lambda(p) = \frac{pq\lambda}{(p+\lambda-2)(q+\lambda-2)}$  is the best possible.

In this paper, by using the  $\beta$  function and obtaining the expression of the weight function, we give a new extension of (1.7) and (1.8) with parameters  $\lambda$  and functions  $(\phi_p, \psi_q)$  as (1.4). As applications, we also consider the equivalent form and some other particular results.

### 2. Some Lemmas

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \phi_p, \psi_q > 0, \lambda > 0, \phi_p + \psi_q = \lambda$ , define the weight function  $\omega_\lambda(p, x)$  as*

$$\omega_\lambda(p, x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} \cdot \frac{x^{(p-1)(1-\phi_p)}}{y^{1-\psi_q}} dy, \quad x \in (0, \infty). \tag{2.1}$$

Then we have

$$\omega_\lambda(p, x) = \frac{\lambda}{\phi_p \psi_q} x^{p(1-\phi_p)-1}. \tag{2.2}$$

**Proof.** By (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\lambda(p, x) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} \cdot \frac{x^{(p-1)(1-\phi_p)}}{y^{1-\psi_q}} dy \\ &= \int_0^x \frac{1}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} \cdot \frac{x^{(p-1)(1-\phi_p)}}{y^{1-\psi_q}} dy + \int_x^\infty \frac{1}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} \cdot \frac{x^{(p-1)(1-\phi_p)}}{y^{1-\psi_q}} dy \\ &= \int_0^x \frac{1}{x^\lambda} \cdot \frac{x^{(p-1)(1-\phi_p)}}{y^{1-\psi_q}} dy + \int_x^\infty \frac{1}{y^\lambda} \cdot \frac{x^{(p-1)(1-\phi_p)}}{y^{1-\psi_q}} dy \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{\phi_p \psi_q} x^{p(1-\phi_p)-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Hence, (2.2) is valid and the lemma is proved.

**Note.** By (2.3), we still have

$$\omega_\lambda(q, y) = \frac{\lambda}{\phi_p \psi_q} y^{q(1-\psi_q)-1}. \tag{2.4}$$

### 3. Main Results and Applications

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \phi_p, \psi_q > 0, \lambda > 0, \phi_p + \psi_q = \lambda, f, g \geq 0$  such that  $0 < \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\phi_p)-1} f^p(x) < \infty$ , and  $0 < \int_0^\infty x^{q(1-\psi_q)-1} g^q(x) dx < \infty$ ,*

then we have

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx dy < \frac{\lambda}{\phi_p \psi_q} \left\{ \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\phi_p)-1} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_0^\infty x^{q(1-\psi_q)-1} g^q(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \tag{3.1}$$

where the constant factor  $\frac{r_s}{\lambda}$  is the best possible. In particular,

(a) for  $\phi_p = \psi_q = \frac{\lambda}{2}$ , we have

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx dy < \frac{4}{\lambda} \left\{ \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{2})-1} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_0^\infty x^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{2})-1} g^q(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}; \tag{3.2}$$

(b) for  $\lambda = 1$ , we have

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{\max\{x, y\}} dx dy < \frac{1}{\phi_p \psi_q} \left\{ \int_0^\infty x^{p\psi_q-1} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_0^\infty x^{q\phi_p-1} g^q(x) dx \right\}. \tag{3.3}$$

**Proof.** By Hölder’s inequality and Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx dy \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \left[ \frac{f(x)}{(\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\})^{\frac{1}{p}}} \cdot \frac{x^{(1-\phi_p)/q}}{y^{(1-\psi_q)/p}} \right] \left[ \frac{g(y)}{(\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\})^{\frac{1}{q}}} \cdot \frac{y^{(1-\psi_q)/p}}{x^{(1-\phi_p)/q}} \right] dx dy \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_0^\infty \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} \cdot \frac{x^{(p-1)(1-\phi_p)}}{y^{(1-\psi_q)}} dy \right] f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \int_0^\infty \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} \cdot \frac{y^{(q-1)(1-\psi_q)}}{x^{(1-\phi_p)}} dx \right] g^q(y) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left\{ \int_0^\infty \omega_\lambda(p, x) f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_0^\infty \omega_\lambda(q, y) g^q(y) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

If (3.4) takes the form of equality, then there exists constants A and B, such that they are not all zero and (see [6])

$$A \frac{f^p(x)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} \cdot \frac{x^{(p-1)(1-\phi_p)}}{y^{1-\psi_q}} = B \frac{g^q(y)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} \cdot \frac{y^{(q-1)(1-\psi_q)}}{x^{1-\phi_p}}, \text{ a.e. in } (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty).$$

We find that  $Ax \cdot x^{p(1-\phi_p)-1} f^p(x) = By \cdot y^{q(1-\psi_q)-1} g^q(y)$ , a.e. in  $(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$ . Hence there exists a constant  $C$ , such that

$$Ax \cdot x^{p(1-\phi_p)-1} f^p(x) = C = By \cdot y^{q(1-\psi_q)-1} g^q(y), \text{ a.e. in } (0, \infty).$$

Without losing the generality, suppose  $A \neq 0$ , we may get  $x^{p(1-\phi_p)-1} f^p(x) = C/(Ax)$ , a.e. in  $(0, \infty)$ , which contradicts  $0 < \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\phi_p)-1} f^p(x) dx < \infty$ . Hence (3.4) takes a strict inequality as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx dy \\ & < \left\{ \int_0^\infty \omega_\lambda(p, x) f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_0^\infty \omega_\lambda(q, y) g^q(y) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

In view of (2.2) and (2.4), we have (3.1).

If the constant factor  $\frac{\lambda}{\phi_p \psi_q}$  in (3.1) is not the best possible, then there exists a positive constant  $K$  (with  $K < \frac{\lambda}{\phi_p \psi_q}$ ) and exists  $a > 0$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx dy \\ & < K \left\{ \int_a^\infty x^{p(1-\phi_p)-1} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_a^\infty x^{q(1-\psi_q)-1} g^q(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

For  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough ( $\varepsilon < p\phi_p$ ) and  $0 < b < a$ , setting  $f_\varepsilon$  and  $g_\varepsilon$  as:

$$f_\varepsilon(x) = g_\varepsilon(x) = 0, \quad x \in (0, b); \quad f_\varepsilon = x^{-1-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}+\phi_p}, \quad g_\varepsilon = x^{-1-\frac{\varepsilon}{q}+\psi_q}, \quad x \in [b, \infty),$$

then we find

$$\int_a^\infty \int_b^\infty \frac{f_\varepsilon(x) \cdot g_\varepsilon(y)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx dy = \int_a^\infty \int_b^\infty \frac{x^{-1-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}+\phi_p} \cdot y^{-1-\frac{\varepsilon}{q}+\psi_q}}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx dy. \tag{3.7}$$

In (3.7), for  $b \rightarrow 0^+$ , by (3.6), we have

$$\frac{1}{a^\varepsilon} \left[ (\psi_q - \frac{\varepsilon}{q})^{-1} + (\phi_p + \frac{\varepsilon}{q})^{-1} \right] = \varepsilon \int_a^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f_\varepsilon(x)g_\varepsilon(y)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx dy \leq \frac{K}{a^\varepsilon}.$$

For  $\varepsilon^+ \rightarrow 0$ , by [1] (see [1, Th.342 remark]), it follows that  $\frac{\lambda}{\phi_p \psi_q} \leq K$ , which contradicts the fact that  $K < \frac{\lambda}{\phi_p \psi_q}$ . Hence the constant factor  $\frac{\lambda}{\phi_p \psi_q}$  in (3.1) is the best possible. The theorem is proved.

**Theorem 3.2.** *If  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $\phi_p, \psi_q > 0$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\phi_p + \psi_q = \lambda$ ,  $f \geq 0$  such that  $0 < \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\phi_p)-1} f^p(x) dx < \infty$ , then we have*

$$\int_0^\infty y^{p\psi_q-1} \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx \right]^p dy < \left( \frac{\lambda}{\phi_p \psi_q} \right)^p \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\phi_p)-1} f^p(x) dx, \quad (3.8)$$

where the constant  $\left(\frac{\lambda}{\phi_p \psi_q}\right)^p$  is the best possible. Inequality (3.8) is equivalent to (3.1). In particular,

(a) for  $\phi_p = \psi_q = \frac{\lambda}{2}$ , we have

$$\int_0^\infty y^{\frac{p\lambda}{2}-1} \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx \right]^p dy < \left( \frac{4}{\lambda} \right)^p \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{2})-1} f^p(x) dx; \quad (3.9)$$

(b) for  $\lambda = 1$ , we have

$$\int_0^\infty y^{p\psi_q-1} \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{\max\{x, y\}} dx \right]^p dy < \left( \frac{1}{\phi_p \psi_q} \right)^p \int_0^\infty x^{p\psi_q-1} f^p(x) dx. \quad (3.10)$$

**Proof.** Setting a real function  $g(y)$  as

$$g(y) = y^{p\psi_q-1} \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx \right]^{p-1}, \quad y \in (0, \infty),$$

then by (3.1), we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \int_0^\infty y^{q(1-\psi_q)-1} g^q(y) dy \right]^p \\ &= \left\{ \int_0^\infty y^{p\psi_q-1} \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx \right]^p dy \right\}^p \\ &= \left[ \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} \right]^p \\ &\leq \left( \frac{\lambda}{\phi_p \psi_q} \right)^p \left\{ \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\phi_p)-1} f^p(x) dx \right\} \left\{ \int_0^\infty x^{q(1-\psi_q)-1} g^q(x) dx \right\}^{p-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Hence we obtain

$$0 < \int_0^\infty y^{q(1-\psi_q)-1} g^q(y) dy \leq \left( \frac{\lambda}{\phi_p \psi_q} \right)^p \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\phi_p)-1} f^p(x) dx < \infty. \quad (3.12)$$

By (3.1), both (3.11) and (3.12) take the form of strict inequality, and we have (3.8).

On the other hand, suppose that (3.8) is valid. By Hölder's inequality, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx dy \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left[ y^{\psi_q - \frac{1}{p}} \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx \right] \left[ y^{-\psi_q + \frac{1}{p}} g(y) \right] dy \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_0^\infty y^{p\psi_q - 1} \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx \right]^p dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_0^\infty y^{q(1-\psi_q) - 1} g^q(y) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Then by (3.8), we have (3.1). Hence (3.1) and (3.8) are equivalent.

If the constat  $(\frac{\lambda}{\phi_p \psi_q})^p$  in (3.8) is not the best possible, by using (3.13), we may get a contradiction that the constant factor in (3.1) is not the best possible. Thus we complete the proof of the theorem.

For  $\phi_p = \frac{\lambda}{p}$ ,  $\psi_q = \frac{\lambda}{q}$  by (3.1) and (3.8), we have

**Corollary 3.3.** *If  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $f, g \geq 0$  such that  $0 < \int_0^\infty x^{p-\lambda-1} f^p(x) dx < \infty$ , and  $0 < \int_0^\infty x^{q-\lambda-1} g^q(x) dx < \infty$ , then we have*

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx dy < \frac{pq}{\lambda} \left\{ \int_0^\infty x^{p-\lambda-1} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_0^\infty x^{q-\lambda-1} g^q(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}; \tag{3.14}$$

$$\int_0^\infty y^{(p-1)\lambda-1} \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx \right]^p dy < \left( \frac{pq}{\lambda} \right)^p \int_0^\infty x^{p-\lambda-1} f^p(x) dx, \tag{3.15}$$

where the constant factors  $\frac{pq}{\lambda}$  and  $(\frac{pq}{\lambda})^p$  are the best possible. In particular, for  $\lambda = 1$ , we have

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{\max\{x, y\}} dx dy < pq \left\{ \int_0^\infty x^{p-2} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_0^\infty x^{q-2} g^q(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}; \tag{3.16}$$

$$\int_0^\infty y^{p-2} \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{\max\{x, y\}} dx \right]^p dy < (pq)^p \int_0^\infty x^{p-2} f^p(x) dx. \tag{3.17}$$

For  $\phi_p = \frac{\lambda}{q}$ ,  $\psi_q = \frac{\lambda}{p}$ , by (3.1) and (3.8), we have

**Corollary 3.4.** *If  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $f, g \geq 0$  such that  $0 <$*

$\int_0^\infty x^{(p-1)(1-\lambda)} f^p(x) < \infty$ , and  $0 < \int_0^\infty x^{(q-1)(1-\lambda)} g^q(x) dx < \infty$ , then we have

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx dy < \frac{pq}{\lambda} \left\{ \int_0^\infty x^{(p-1)(1-\lambda)} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_0^\infty x^{(q-1)(1-\lambda)} g^q(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}; \quad (3.18)$$

$$\int_0^\infty y^{\lambda-1} \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx \right]^p dy < \left( \frac{pq}{\lambda} \right)^p \int_0^\infty x^{(p-1)(1-\lambda)} f^p(x) dx, \quad (3.19)$$

where the constant factors  $\frac{pq}{\lambda}$  and  $\left(\frac{pq}{\lambda}\right)^p$  are the best possible. In particular, for  $\lambda = 1$ , (3.18) reduces to (1.5).

For  $p = q = 2$ , by (3.3) and (3.10), we have

**Corollary 3.5.** *If  $\phi_p, \psi_q > 0$ ,  $\phi_p + \psi_q = 1$ ,  $f, g \geq 0$  such that  $0 < \int_0^\infty x^{2\psi_q-1} f^2(x) < \infty$ , and  $0 < \int_0^\infty x^{2\phi_p-1} g^2(x) dx < \infty$ , then we have*

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{\max\{x, y\}} dx dy < \frac{\lambda}{\phi_p \psi_q} \left\{ \int_0^\infty x^{2\psi_q-1} f^2(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \int_0^\infty x^{2\phi_p-1} g^2(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}; \quad (3.20)$$

$$\int_0^\infty y^{2\psi_q-1} \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{\max\{x, y\}} dx \right]^2 dy < \left( \frac{\lambda}{\phi_p \psi_q} \right)^2 \int_0^\infty x^{2\psi_q-1} f^2(x) dx, \quad (3.21)$$

where the constant factors  $r$  and  $\left(\frac{\lambda}{\phi_p \psi_q}\right)^2$  are the best possible.

**Remark.**

- (a) For  $\phi_p = \frac{q+\lambda+2}{q}$ ,  $\psi_q = \frac{p+\lambda+2}{p}$ , ( $0 < \lambda < 2 - \min\{p, q\}$ ) inequality (3.1) reduces to (1.7) and for  $\phi_p = \frac{p+\lambda+2}{p}$ ,  $\psi_q = \frac{q+\lambda+2}{q}$ , ( $0 < \lambda < 2 - \min\{p, q\}$ ) inequality (3.1) reduces to (1.8).
- (b) Inequality (3.1) is a new extension of (1.7), (1.8), (3.14) and (3.18).
- (c) Inequality (3.20) is an extension of (1.6) with two parameters ( $r, s$ ).
- (d) It is interesting that inequalities (1.7), (1.8), (3.2), (3.14) and (3.18) are different, although they are with the same parameters and possess the best constant factor.



### Acknowledgments

The author thanks the referee for his helpful suggestions in revising the paper.

### References

- [1] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Polya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1952.
- [2] Leping He, Jiangming Yu and Minzhe Gao, *An extension of Hilbert's integral inequality*, J. Shaoguan Univ, (Natural Science), 23:3(2002), 25-30.
- [3] Yong Hong, *All-sided generalization about Hardy-Hilbert's integral inequalities*, Acta Math, Sinica, 44:4(2001), 619-626.
- [4] Mario Krnić and Josip Pečarić, *General Hilbert's and Hardy's inequalities*, Mathematical Inequalities Applications, 8:1(2005), 29-51.
- [5] Jichang Kuang, *On new extensions of Hilbert's integral inequality*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 235(1999), 608-614.
- [6] Jichang Kuang, *Applied Inequalities*, Shandong Science and Technology Press, Jiinan, 2004.
- [7] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić and A. M. Fink, *Inequalities Involving Functions and their Integrals and Derivatives*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1991.
- [8] Bicheng Yang, *On an extension of Hardy-Hilbert's inequality*, Chinese Annals of Math., 23A:4(2002), 247-254.
- [9] Bicheng Yang, *On an extension of Hilbert's inequality with some parameters*, The Australian of J. Math. Anal. Appl., 1:1, Article 11(2004), 1-8.
- [10] Bicheng Yang, *On a generalization of the Hilbert's type inequality and its applications*, Chinese Journal of Engineering Mathematics, 21:5(2004).
- [11] Bicheng Yang, *On best extensions of Hardy-Hilbert's inequality with two parameters*, Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 6:3, Article 8(2005).
- [12] Bicheng Yang, *Best generalization of Hilbert's type of inequality*, Journal of JiLin University (Science Edition), 42:1(2005).

Department of Mathematics, Guangdong Education College, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510303, P.R. China.

E-mail: xdm77108@gdei.edu.cn